

OR 55674  
3600

Town of Bonanza  
PO Box 297  
Bonanza, OR 97623

Categorical Exclusion No. KCER-00-4

The disposal of 500 cubic yards of rock crusher reject mineral material from the Harpold quarry is designated a categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6 appendix 5.4 F (10). The proposal has been screened and does not meet the criteria for exception under 516 DM 2.3A(3): 1. Health and Safety; 2. Unique Resources; 3. Controversial; 4. Risks; 5. Precedent; 6. Cumulative; 7. Cultural and Historical; 8. Threatened or Endangered Species; 9. Violate Law. Therefore, no further environmental analysis is required.

/s./ Teresa A. Raml  
Teresa A. Raml  
Manager, Klamath Falls Resource Area

4/11/2000  
Date

KLAMATH FALLS RESOURCE AREA  
NEPA CONFORMANCE/PLAN CONFORMANCE  
RECORD

KCER-00-04

**BLM Office:** Klamath Falls R.A.

Serial No. OR 55674

**Applicant:** Town of Bonanza

**Proposed Action Title/Type:** Free use permit for 500 Cubic yards of mineral material from the Harpold Quarry

**Location of Proposed Action:** T. 39 S., R. 11 E. WM Section 19 SW¼NE¼

Description of Proposed Action: The Town of Bonanza proposes to remove 500 cubic yards of mineral material from the Harpold Quarry. The mineral material will be used for repair of Bonanza city streets.

**Part 1 Plan Conformance Review** This proposed action is subject to the Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan, approved June 1995.

The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3)

Tom Cottingham  
Realty Specialist

**Part 2 NEPA Review**

A. Categorical exclusion review. This proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 F (10). It has been reviewed to determine if any exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

Tom Cottingham  
Realty Specialist

B. Existing EA/EIS review. This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA/EIS: Klamath Falls Resource Area Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan and Rangeland Program Summary Date Approved: June 1995.

This EA/EIS has been reviewed against the following criteria to determine if it covers the proposed action:

1. The proposed action is a feature of, or is essentially the same as, the alternative selected and analyzed in the existing document.
2. A reasonable range of alternatives was analyzed in the existing document.
3. There has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action.
4. The methodology/analytical techniques approach previously used is appropriate for the proposed action.
5. The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed action are not significantly different than those identified in the existing document.
6. The proposed action would not change the previous analysis of cumulative impacts.
7. Public involvement in the previous analysis provides appropriate coverage for the proposed action.

Tom Cottingham  
Realty Specialist

	Initials	Date
SSS Animal Surveys	_____	_____
Botanical Surveys	_____	_____
Cultural Reviews	_____	_____
Other Reviews	_____	_____

### **Part 3 Recommendation/Rationale**

**Recommendation:** I recommend that a Free Use Permit for 500 Cubic yards of mineral material be granted to the Town of Bonanza for a period of 4 months, with an option to renew, across T. 39 S., R. 11 E. WM Section 19 SW¼NE¼. The grant should be made under the authority of the Mineral Materials Act of July 31, 1947, as amended, (30 U.S.C. 601,602).

**Rationale for Recommendation:** The proposed action meets the criteria for categorical exclusion in 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4 F (10), and none of the exceptions in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Further, the action is in conformance with the Klamath Falls Resource Area Resource Management Plan, Approved June 1995.

Tom Cottingham  
Realty Specialist                      Date    4/10/2000

Decision: I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA conformance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no further environmental analysis is required. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified below.

Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks:   None

/s./ Teresa A. Raml    Date    4/10/2000  
Manager, Klamath Falls Resource Area